# STEADY CONVECTION IN THE PRESENCE OF AN EXTERNAL MAGNETIC FIELD

### (STATSIONARNAIA KONVEKTSIIA PRI NALICHII VNESHNEGO MAGNITNOGO POLIA)

PMM Vol.30, № 6, 1966, pp. 1140-1146

(Received January 10, 1966)

The problem of the equilibrium of a liquid enclosed in a vessel heated from below has been considered by Sorokin [1], Iudovich and Ukhovskii [2] and Velt [3]. It has been established that if the Rayleigh number  $\lambda$  exceeds a certain critical value  $\lambda_0$ , then secondary steady flows arise in the liquid.

The stability of a conductive liquid heated from below has been studied by many authors. The most complete and general studies are those of Sorokin and Sushkin [4], whose paper contains the appropriate bibliography, and that of Shliomis [5]. The results of [4 and 5] make clear the physical picture of the phenomena associated with the heating of a conductive fluid and indicate the possible existence of secondary steady and periodic flows.

The existence of steady convective flows in a conductive liquid are proved below. Our study is based on the procedure set forth in [2].

1. Let us assume that the density  $\ \rho*$  of the liquid is related to the temperature  $\ {\it T}^*$  in linear fashion

$$\rho^* = \rho_0 (1 - \alpha \Delta T^*), \qquad \Delta T^* = T^* - T_0^*$$

Here  $\alpha$  is the coefficient of volume expansion and  $~\rho_0$  is the density of the liquid at the temperature  $~T_0{}^{\bigstar}$  .

We know that a heated liquid can be in equilibrium only if the temperature  $T^*$  at the point  $\mathbf{r}^*$  is of the form  $T^* = T_0^* + \beta \mathbf{lr}^*$ , where  $\mathbf{l}$  is a unit vector in the direction opposite that of the gravitational force.

Henceforth we shall assume  $\,\beta\,$  to be positive, writing the local temperature in the liquid in the form

$$T^* = T_0^* + \beta lr^* + \theta^*$$

We shall consider the steady motions of the liquid in the bounded region  $\Omega$  for a constant temperature gradient and a constant magnetic field in the external medium. We introduce the dimensionless variables

$$\mathbf{u}^* = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{L} \mathbf{u}, \qquad \mathbf{h}^* = \left(\frac{\rho_0 \mathbf{v}^3}{\mu_e L^2 \eta}\right)^{1/2} \mathbf{h}, \qquad T^* = \frac{\beta L \mathbf{v}}{\varkappa} T, \qquad \theta^* = \frac{\beta L \mathbf{v}}{\varkappa} \theta, \qquad x_i^* = L \mathbf{v}_i$$

$$\left(\varkappa = \frac{k \rho_0}{c_v}, \quad \eta = (\mu_e \sigma)^{-1}\right)$$

Here  $\,_{\rm V}\,$  is the kinematic viscosity;  $\,L\,$  is the characteristic linear dimension;  $\,{\bf u}$  ,  $\,{\bf h}\,$  are, respectively, the vectors of the liquid's velocity

and of the intensity of the magnetic field induced by the liquid's motion;  $x_1$  are Cartesian coordinates; k is the coefficient of heat conduction and c, the specific heat;  $\eta$  is the coefficient of magnetic viscosity;  $\sigma$  is the electric conductivity;  $\mu$ , is the magnetic permeability. The asterisk denotes dimensional quantities. The equations of the steady motion of the liquid [6] become

$$\triangle \mathbf{u} = -\lambda \theta \mathbf{l} - R_m \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}_n} + \nabla \Phi + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - R_{\sigma} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{h}, \qquad \Delta \theta = -\mathbf{u}_l + P (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \theta$$

$$\triangle \mathbf{h} = -R_m \mathbf{u}_{x_s} + R_{\sigma} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{h} - R_{\sigma} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}, \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0$$

$$\Phi = \frac{L^2}{v^2} \left[ \frac{\rho^*}{\rho_0} + \frac{\mu_e H}{2\rho_0} - g l r^* + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \beta g (l r^*)^2 \right]$$
 (1.1)

$$\lambda = \frac{\alpha \beta g L^4}{\varkappa v}$$
,  $P = \frac{v}{\varkappa}$ ,  $R_m = HL \left(\frac{\mu_e}{\rho_0 v \eta}\right)^{1/2}$ ,  $u_{x_3} \equiv \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_8}$ ,  $u_l = u \cdot l$ 

Here  $\lambda$  is the Rayleigh number, P is the Prandtl number,  $R_{\sigma}$  is the magnetic Reynolds number,  $R_{\mathbf{n}}$  is the magnetic pressure number, p is the pressure,  $\mathbf{g}$  is the acceleration due to gravity, H is the magnitude of the external magnetic field intensity, and  $-u_{\mathbf{g}}$  is the projection of the velocity on the direction of gravity. The  $x_3$ -axis is directed along the external magnetic field; in addition, the usual convention as regards the omission of the sign indicating summation over a recurrent index is observed.

The first equation of (1.1) yields the dynamic equations, the second the heat conduction equations, the third the induction equations, and the fourth the incompressibility equations.

If the vessel is completely filled and the vessel wall is an ideal conductor, then the boundary conditions with a constant temperature gradient in the external medium are as follows:

$$\theta = 0$$
,  $\mathbf{u} = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{rot} \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{\tau} = 0$  (1.2)

Here  $\boldsymbol{n}$  is the normal and  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$  is an arbitrary vector tangent to the vessel wall  $\delta\Omega$  .

Equations (1.1) under boundary conditions (1.2) have a trivial solution corresponding to the liquid at rest,

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \theta = 0 \qquad (T^* = \dot{T}_0^* + \beta \mathbf{l} \mathbf{r}^*)$$
 (1.3)

Along with problem (1.1),(1.2) we shall consider the corresponding linearized steady-state problem

$$\Delta \mathbf{u} = -\lambda \theta \mathbf{l} - R_m \mathbf{h}_{x_1} + \nabla \Phi, \quad \Delta \mathbf{h} = -R_m \mathbf{u}_{x_3}, \quad \Delta \theta = -u_l, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0$$
 (1.4)

in  $\Omega$  with boundary condition (1.2) at  $\partial\Omega$  .

2. Let us now define some function spaces. By  $\mathit{H}_u$  we denote the Hilbert space which is the closure of the set of sufficiently smooth solenoidal vectors finite in  $\Omega$  in the norm generated by the scalar product

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{H_{\mathbf{u}}} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{x_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbf{v}_{x_{\mathbf{i}}} dx = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{u} \cdot \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{v} dx$$
 (2.1)

The pace  $H_{\bf h}$  is the subspace which is a closure in the norm generated by the scalar product (2.1) of the set of continuously differentiable solenoidal vectors for which  ${\bf h} \cdot {\bf n} = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ .

The space  $H_0$  is the closure of the set of sufficiently smooth functions finite in  $\Omega$  in the norm generated by the scalar product

$$(\theta, \Phi)_{H_{\theta}} = \int_{\Sigma} \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \Phi \, dx \tag{2.2}$$

We know [7 and 8] that  $H_{\rm u}$ ,  $H_{\rm h}$ ,  $H_{\rm e}$  are imbeddable in  $L_{\rm z}$ . Thus, the above norms in the corresponding spaces are equivalent to the conventional norm  $W_{\rm z}^{-1}$ .

Let us also introduce the Hilbert space H whose elements  $\mathbf{f}$  are pairs  $\mathbf{u} \in H_u$ ,  $\mathbf{h} \in H_h$ , and where the scalar products  $\mathbf{f} = \{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}\}$  and  $\mathbf{q} = \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{\psi}\}$  are defined by Formula  $(\mathbf{f}, \, \varphi)_H = (\mathbf{u}, \, \mathbf{v})_{H_H} + (\mathbf{h}, \, \psi)_{H_h}$ 

The generalized solution of problem (1.1),(1.2) is the triplet  $\mathbf{u}\in H_u$ ,  $\mathbf{h}\in H_h, \theta\in H_\theta$ , which satisfies the integral identities

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{u},\,\mathbf{v})_{H_{\mathcal{U}}} &= \lambda \int\limits_{\Omega} \theta u_l \, dx + R_m \int\limits_{\Omega} \mathbf{h}_{x_3} \mathbf{v} \, dx - \int\limits_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \, \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} \, dx + R_\sigma \int\limits_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla) \, \mathbf{h} \mathbf{v} \, dx \\ (\mathbf{h},\,\mathbf{\psi})_{H_h} &= R_m \int\limits_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{x_3} \mathbf{\psi} \, dx - R_\sigma \int\limits_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \, \mathbf{h} \mathbf{\psi} \, dx + R_\sigma \int\limits_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla) \, \mathbf{u} \mathbf{\psi} \, dx \\ (\theta,\,\Phi)_{H_\theta}^{\bullet} &= \int\limits_{\Omega} u_l \Phi \, dx - P \int\limits_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \, \theta \Phi \, dx, \quad \mathbf{v} \in H_u, \quad \mathbf{\psi} \in H_h, \quad \Phi \in H_\theta \end{split} \end{split} \tag{2.4}$$

The results of Ladyzhenskaia and Solonnikov [7 and 9] indicate that the generalized solutions of problem (1.1),(1.2) are doubly continuously differentiable (\*) in  $\Omega$  and that they satisfy boundary conditions (1.2).

The generalized solution for linear problem (1.4) is determined in the

3. Let us reduce problem (2.4) to operator equations. For sufficiently smooth functions  $\mathbf{F}_u$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_h$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\mathbf{F}$  we define the operators  $K_u, K_h, K_\theta, K_f$  by the requirement that the integral identities

$$(K_u \mathbf{F}_u, \mathbf{v})_{H_u} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F}_u \mathbf{v} \, dx, \quad (K_h \mathbf{F}_h, \, \psi)_{H_h} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F}_h \psi \, dx, \quad (K_\theta, \, \theta, \, \Phi)_{H_\theta} = \int_{\Omega} \theta \Phi \, dx \quad (3.1)$$

$$(K_f \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{f})_H = (K_u \mathbf{F}_u, \mathbf{v})_{H_u} + (K_h \mathbf{F}_h, \mathbf{\psi})_{H_h}$$
 (3.2).

be fulfilled for any  $\mathbf{v} \in H_u$ ,  $\mathbf{\psi} \in H_h$ ,  $\Phi \in H_\theta^\intercal$ ,  $\mathbf{f} \in H$ . Lemma 3.1. The operators  $K_f, K_u, K_h, K_\theta$  are bounded and completely continuous. Let us prove this for the operator  $K_u$ . The boundedness of the operator follows from the estimate

$$|(K_u \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{v})_{H_u}| = \left| \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{v} \, dx \right| \leqslant \left( \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F}^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{v}^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$
 (3.3)

and from the imbedding of  $H_u$  in  $L_2$ . The complete continuity [8 and 10] of the imbedding from  $H_u$  in  $L_2$  and estimate (3.3) imply the complete continuity of  $K_u$ .

The heat conduction equation becomes the operator equation

$$\theta + PK_{\theta}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \theta = K_{\theta} u_{t} \tag{3.4}$$

With  $u_\ell$  = 0 the homogeneous equation  $\theta+PK_\theta(\mathbf{u}\,.\nabla)\,\theta=0$  has only a trivial solution in  $H_\theta$ . In fact, taking its scalar product with  $\theta$ , we have

$$\begin{split} (\theta,\theta)_{H_{\theta}} &\stackrel{+}{\rightarrow} P\left(K_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\right)\theta,\ \theta\right)_{H_{\theta}} = (\theta,\ \theta)_{H_{\theta}} + P\int_{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\right)\theta\theta\,dx = \\ &= (\theta,\ \theta)_{H_{\theta}} - P\int_{\Omega}^{1} \frac{1}{2}\theta^{2}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}\,dx = (\theta,\ \theta)_{H_{\theta}} = 0 \end{split}$$

<sup>\*)</sup> Following Vorovich and Iudovich [8], we can prove that derivatives of the functions u, h,  $\theta$  of any order are continuous in the closed region  $\Omega$  if the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is sufficiently smooth.

The Fredholm theorem implies that (3.4) is solvable for any  $\mathbf{u} \in H_u$ . Equation (3.4) is determined by the operator

$$0 = Au$$
.

We shall now show that A is a bounded operator acting from  $H_u$  into  $H_{\bullet}$ . Taking the scalar product of (3.4) and  $\theta$ , we find, as above, that

$$(\theta,\,\theta)_{H_{\theta}} = \int\limits_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_l \theta \; dx \leqslant \|\, \mathbf{u}\,\|_{L_2} \, \|\, \theta\,\|_{L_2}$$

The imbedding of  $H_{\bullet}$  in  $L_2$  implies the boundedness of the operator A . System (2.4) becomes the system

$$\mathbf{u} - R_m K_u \mathbf{h}_{x_0} = \lambda K_u \cdot \mathbf{h} \mathbf{u} - K_u \cdot (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + R_\sigma K_u \cdot (\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{h}$$

$$\mathbf{h} - R_m K_h \mathbf{u}_{x_0} = -R_\sigma K_h \cdot (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{h} + R_\sigma K_h \cdot (\mathbf{h} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}$$
(3.5)

or, in the space H , Equation

$$\mathbf{f} - R_m K_1 \mathbf{f} = \lambda K_2 \mathbf{f} + K_3 \mathbf{f}$$
  $(K_2 \mathbf{f} \equiv K_u A \mathbf{u})$ 

Lemma 3.2. The operators  $\cdot K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$  are bounded and completely continuous.

The Lemma follows from the complete continuity of the operators  $K_{\bf u}$  and  $K_{\bf h}$ . Let us show, for example, the complete continuity of the operator  $Bf\equiv K_h \ ({\bf u}\cdot \nabla) \ {\bf h}$ , which acts from H into  $H_h$ . We have

$$(B\mathbf{f}, \, \mathbf{\psi})_{H_{h}} \equiv (K_{h} \, (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \, \mathbf{h}, \, \mathbf{\psi})_{H_{h}} = \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \, \mathbf{h} \mathbf{\psi} \, d\mathbf{x} = -\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{h} \, (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \, \mathbf{\psi} \, d\mathbf{x}$$
(3.6)

From this we have the estimate

$$(B\mathbf{f},\,\boldsymbol{\psi})_{H_h} \leqslant C_1\,\|\,\boldsymbol{\psi}\,\|_{H_h}\,\|\,\mathbf{u}\,\|_{L_{\boldsymbol{\iota}}}\|\,\mathbf{h}\,\|_{L_{\boldsymbol{\iota}}}$$

Replacing o by Br , we obtain

$$\left\|\left.B\mathbf{f}\right\|_{H}\leqslant C\left\|\left.\mathbf{u}\right.\right\|_{H_{u}}\right\|\mathbf{h}\left.\right\|_{H_{h}}\leqslant C\left\|\left.\mathbf{f}\right.\right\|_{H}^{2}\tag{3.7}$$

which implies the boundedness of the operator  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{B}}$  .

From (3.6) we find (\*) that for some sequence  $\mathbf{f}^{(n)}$ 

$$\lfloor (B\mathbf{f}^{(n)} - B\mathbf{f}^{(m)}, \ \mathbf{\psi})_{H_h} \rfloor \leqslant C (\|\mathbf{f}^{(n)}\|_{L_4} + \|\mathbf{f}^{(m)}\|_{L_4}) \|\mathbf{\psi}\|_{H_h} \|\mathbf{f}^{(n)} - \mathbf{f}^{(m)}\|_{L_4}$$

When  $\psi$  has been replaced by  $B\mathbf{f}^{(n)}-B\mathbf{f}^{(m)}$  the complete continuity of the operators [8 and 10] from  $H_h$  into  $L_4$  implies the complete continuity of the operator B.

The operator in the left-hand side of (3.5) is invertible.

In fact, taking the scalar product of (3.5) and f and setting the right-hand side equal to zero, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f})_H - R_m (K_1 \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f})_H = 0$$

but

$$(K_{1}\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f})_{H} \equiv (K_{u}\mathbf{h}_{x_{3}}, \mathbf{u})_{H_{u}} + (K_{h}\mathbf{u}_{x_{3}}, \mathbf{h})_{H_{h}} = \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{h}_{x_{3}}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{x_{3}}\mathbf{h}) dx = 0$$
 (3.8)

So that

$$(\mathbf{f}, \ \mathbf{f})_H = 0, \qquad \mathbf{f} \equiv 0$$

By the Fredholm theorem, the completely continuous operator  $I - R_{\bullet}K_1$  has an inverse L which is bounded. In fact, by virtue of (3.8),

$$\| \mathbf{f} - R_m K_1 \mathbf{f} \|_H = (\| \mathbf{f} \|_H^2 + R_m^2 \| K_n \mathbf{h}_{x_1} \|_{H_M}^2 + R_m^2 \| K_h \mathbf{u}_{x_1} \|_{H_h}^2)^{1/2} \geqslant \| \mathbf{f} \|_H$$

<sup>\*)</sup> Notation:  $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_{s}} = \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_{s}} + \|\mathbf{h}\|_{L_{s}}$ .

This implies [11] the boundedness and (by virtue of its linearity) the continuity of the operator  $\,L\,$  .

System (3.5) or (1.1) is thus equivalent to the operator equation

$$\mathbf{f} = \lambda L K_2 \mathbf{f} + L K_3 \mathbf{f} \equiv K \quad (\mathbf{f}, \lambda) \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly, linear system (1.4) becomes the system

$$\mathbf{u} - R_m K_u \mathbf{h}_{x_*} = \lambda K_u (K_0 u_l) \mathbf{I}, \qquad \mathbf{h} - R_m K_h \mathbf{u}_{x_*} = 0$$
(3.10)

with the corresponding operator equation

$$\mathbf{f} = \lambda L K_{u} \left( K_{\theta} u_{l} \right) \mathbf{I} \tag{3.11}$$

Since the operator L is continuous and the operators  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  completely continuous, the operator K in (3.9) is completely continuous. We shall show that the right-hand side of (3.11) is the Frechet differential of the operator K. To do this we must demonstrate that

$$\|K\left(\mathbf{f},\lambda\right) - \lambda LK_{u}\left(K_{\theta}u_{l}\right)\mathbf{1}\|_{H} = \|\lambda LK_{2}\mathbf{f} - \lambda LK_{u}\left(K_{\theta}u_{l}\right)\mathbf{1} + LK_{3}\mathbf{f}\|_{H} \leqslant C\|\mathbf{f}\|_{H}^{2}$$

By virtue of the linearity of the operators L and  $K_u$  it is sufficient to estimate  $\|A\mathbf{u} - K_\theta u_I\|_{H_{\mathbf{a}}}, \qquad \|K_g \mathbf{f}\|_H$ 

The estimate of the operator  $K_3$  follows from (3.7), while for the difference  $A\mathbf{u} - K_9 u_I$  (3.4) gives us

$$|\left(A\mathbf{u}-K_{\theta}u_{l},\;\Phi\right)_{H_{\theta}}|=P|\sum_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\right)\theta\Phi\;dx|\leqslant C_{1}\left\Vert \boldsymbol{\theta}\right\Vert _{H_{\theta}}\left\Vert \mathbf{u}\right\Vert _{H_{u}}\left\Vert \Phi\right\Vert _{H_{\theta}}$$

Setting  $\Phi=A{\bf u}-K_\theta u_l$  and making the substitution  $\theta=A{\bf u}$  , by virtue of the boundedness of the operator A we have

$$\| \, A \mathbf{u} - K_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} u_l \, \|_{H_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} \leqslant C \, \| \, \mathbf{u} \, \|_{H_{\boldsymbol{u}}}^2 \leqslant C \, \| \, \mathbf{f} \, \|_H^2$$

4. Let us consider the possibility of the existence of steady-state solutions (1.1),(1.2) which are different from (1.4). Let

$$\lambda_0 = \inf \frac{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_{H_u}}{(K_{\theta}u_l, K_{\theta}u_l)_{H_{\theta}}}$$
(4.1)

where the lower bound is taken over all the solenoidal vector functions  $\mathbf{u} \in H_u$  .

In [2 and 3] it is shown that  $\lambda_0$  is the critical Rayleigh number for the steady-convection equations. If an external magnetic field is present, the following theorem is valid.

The ore m 4.1. If problem (1.1),(1.2) has a nontrivial solution, then  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ .

Let problem (1.1),(1.2) have a nontrivial solution. Taking the scalar products of (1.1) and  ${\bf u}$ ,  ${\bf h}$ ,  ${\bf \lambda}$ ,  ${\bf \theta}$  and adding, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_{H_{\mathbf{u}}} + (\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h})_{H_{\mathbf{h}}} + \lambda \left[ (\theta, \theta)_{H_{\mathbf{\theta}}} - 2 (u_{\mathbf{l}}, \theta) \right] = 0$$
(4.2)

As is evident from (4.2) and the unique solvability of (3.4), the solution of problem (1.1),(1.2) differs from zero only for  $u_{\ell} \neq 0$ .

It is well known [12] that

$$\min \left[ \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \right)_{H_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} - 2 \left( u_l, \, \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \right] = - \left( K_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} u_l, \, K_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} u_l \right)_{H_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}$$

where the minimum is taken over all  $\theta \in H_a$ .

From (4.2) it follows that:

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_{H_h} + (\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h})_{H_h} - \lambda (K_{\theta} u_l, K_{\theta} u_l)_{H_{\theta}} \leq 0$$

and by virtue of (4.1) we find that  $\lambda_0 - \lambda < 0$ . The theorem has been proved. The theorem implies that with  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$ , problem (1.1),(1.2) has only a

trivial solution. Thus, the critical Rayleigh number does not diminish upon the imposition of an external magnetic field. The constant magnetic field stabilizes the equilibrium of the liquid.

Let us make use of the theory of bifurcations of nonlinear operator equations [13] in our search for steady-state solutions of (3.9) which differ from (1.3).

The real number  $\lambda_1$  is called the bifurcation point of the operator K if for any  $\epsilon$ ,  $\delta>0$  it is possible to indicate an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of the operator K such that  $|\lambda-\lambda_1|<\delta$  and that Equation (3.9) has at least one eigenvector  ${\bf f}$  such that  $\|{\bf f}\|_{\cal H}<\epsilon$ .

The results of Krasnosel'skii.[13] imply that the bifurcation points of the operator K can only be the eigenvalues of its Frechet differential (3.10).

If  $\lambda_1$ , an eigenvalue of problem (3.10), is of odd multiplicity (\*), then  $\lambda_1$  is the bifurcation point of the operator K. Corresponding to this point is a continuous branch of the eigenvectors of operator K. The parameter  $\lambda$  is real and positive.

5. Let us prove the existence of positive eigenvalues of (3.11). Operator equation (3.11) is equivalent to system (3.10).

Replacing  ${\bf u}$  in the dynamic equation by its value as determined from the induction equation, we reduce (3.10) to an operator equation for  ${\bf u}$  ,

$$\mathbf{u} - R_{m}^{2} K_{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} K_{h} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_{3}} = \lambda K_{u} (K_{\theta} u_{l}) \mathbf{1}$$
 (5.1)

After determining u from (5.1), we find h and  $\theta$  from the induction and heat conduction equations,

$$\mathbf{h} = R_m K_h \mathbf{u}_{x_s}, \qquad \theta = K_{\theta} u_l$$

The operator in the right- and left-hand sides of (5.1) are linear, positive, completely continuous, and selfadjoint in  $H_{\rm u}$ . In fact,

$$\begin{split} -\left(K_{\mathbf{u}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mathbf{3}}}\,K_{h}\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial x_{\mathbf{3}}}\,,\,\mathbf{v}\right)_{\!H_{\mathbf{u}}} &=\, -\int\limits_{\Omega}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mathbf{3}}}\,K_{h}\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial x_{\mathbf{3}}}\,\mathbf{v}\,dx = \int\limits_{\Omega}K_{h}\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial x_{\mathbf{3}}}\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial x_{\mathbf{3}}}\,dx = \\ &= \left(K_{h}\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial x_{\mathbf{3}}}\,,\,\,K_{h}\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial x_{\mathbf{3}}}\right)_{\!H_{h}} \\ &\left(K_{u}\,(K_{\mathbf{0}}u_{l})\,\mathbf{l},\,\mathbf{v}\right)_{\!H_{\mathbf{u}}} = \int\limits_{\Omega}K_{\mathbf{0}}u_{l}v_{l}\,dx = (K_{\mathbf{0}}u_{l},\,K_{\mathbf{0}}v_{l})_{\!H_{\mathbf{0}}} \end{split}$$

This implies the following theorems and lemma.

Theorem 5.1. There exists a denumerable number of eigenvalues of system (1.4)  $0 < \lambda_1 \leqslant \lambda_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_n \to +\infty$ . The corresponding system of functions  $(\mathbf{u_n}, \mathbf{h_n})$  is complete in H. (The system  $\theta_n$  is complete in  $H_0$ ).

Lemma 5.1. The eigenfunctions which corresond to various eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^*$  of Equations (1.4) satisfy the following orthogonality conditions:

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^*)_{H_{\mathbf{u}}} + (\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}^*)_{H_{\mathbf{h}}} = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}^*)_{H} = 0, \qquad (\theta, \theta^*)_{H_{\theta}} = 0$$
 (5.2)

Any eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\bf k}$  with an odd number of associated eigenvectors is a bifurcation point of Equation (1.1).

As in [2 and 12], the problem of finding the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of (5.1) can be reduced to the problem of minimizing the functional (\*\*)

<sup>\*)</sup> The multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of the operator K is the dimensionality of the subspace spanning the eigen- and adjoint vectors corresponding to the characteristic number  $\lambda$ .

<sup>\*\*)</sup> The equivalence of problem (1.4) to a variational problem (which differs somewhat from (5.3)) is demonstrated in [4].

$$\lambda = J(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_{H_{\mathbf{u}}} + R_{H}^{2}(K_{h}\mathbf{u}_{x_{s}}, K_{h}\mathbf{u}_{x_{s}})}{(K_{\theta}u_{l}, K_{\theta}u_{l})} \qquad (u \in H_{\mathbf{u}})$$
 (5.3)

The ore m 5.2. The problem of finding the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of system (1.4) is equivalent to the minimum problem for functional (5.3).

Let us show that functional (5.2) is bounded from below.

In fact, by the Cauchy-Buniakowski inequality,

$$(K_{\theta}u_{l}, K_{\theta}u_{l})_{H_{\theta}}^{2} \leqslant \left(\int_{\Omega} K_{\theta}u_{l}u_{l} dx\right)^{2} \leqslant \left(\int_{\Omega} u_{l}^{2} dx\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} \theta^{2} dx\right)$$

and making use of the Poincaré inequality,

$$\int_{\Omega} \theta^2 dx \leqslant C_1 \int_{\Omega} \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \theta dx = C_1 (K_{\theta} u_l, K_{\theta} u_l)_{H_{\theta}}$$

and the theorem of imbedding of  $H_u$  in  $L_2$  we obtain

$$(K_{\theta}u_l, K_{\theta}u_l) \leqslant C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_{H_{\bullet}} \tag{5.4}$$

From (5.3) and (5.4) we find that

$$J(\mathbf{u}) \geqslant C^{-1}$$

The minimum problem for functional (5.3) has as its consequence the following theorem [12].

Theorem 5.3. Let  $\lambda_1$  be the exact lower bound of the functional  $J(\mathbf{u})$ . Then, there exists a vector-function  $\mathbf{u}_1 \in H_u$  such that  $J(\mathbf{u}_1) = \lambda_1$ , where  $\lambda_1$  is the smallest eigenvalue, and  $\mathbf{u}_1$  ( $\mathbf{h}_1$ ,  $\theta_1$ , respectively) is the eigenfunction of system (1.4).

Theorem 5.4. Let  $0 \leqslant \lambda_1 \leqslant \lambda_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of (1.4), and let  $(u_n, h_n)$  be their associated eigenfunctions orthonormalized in the sense of (5.2). Then, there exists a function  $u_{n+1} \in H_u$ , which minimizes functional (5.2) under the additional conditions

$$(\mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{u}_m)_{H_u} + (\mathbf{h}_{n+1}, \mathbf{h}_m)_{H_h} = 0, \qquad (\theta_{n+1}, \theta_m)_{H_{\theta}} = 0 \qquad (m = 1, 2 \dots n)$$

where  $h_{n+1}$  ,  $\theta_{n+1}$  can be determined from  $u_{n+1}$  from the induction and heat conduction equations.

The triplet  $\mathbf{u}_{n+1}$  ,  $\mathbf{h}_{n+1}$  ,  $\theta_{n+1}$  is the eigenfunction of (1.4) which corresponds to the number  $\lambda_{n+1} = J\left(\mathbf{u}_{n+1}\right)$ 

In actual computations, it is more convenient to write (5.2) in the form

$$\lambda = J(\mathbf{u}) = \left(\int\limits_{\Omega} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{x_i} \mathbf{u}_{x_i} dx + \int\limits_{\Omega} \mathbf{h}_{x_i} \mathbf{h}_{x_i} dx\right) \left(\int\limits_{\Omega} \theta_{x_i} \theta_{x_i} dx\right)^{-1}$$

Here h and  $\theta$  are the solutions of the linear induction and heat conduction equations of (1.4) with boundary conditions (1.2). Similar results are obtainable in the case where the liquid is enclosed in a dielectric.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Sorokin, V.S., Variatsionnyi metod v teorii konvektsii (The variational method in convection theory). PMN Vol.17, № 1, 1953.
- Ukhovskii, M.R. and Iudovich, V.I., Ob uravneniiakh statsionarnoi konvektsii (On the equations of steady-state convection). PNN Vol.27, N2 2, 1963.
- Velt, W., Stabilitätsverhalten und Verzweigung stationärer Lösungen der Navier-Stokesschen Gleichungen. Arch. Ration. Mech. and Analysis, Vol. 16, N2 2, 1964.

- 4. Sorokin, V.S. and Sushkin, I.V., Ustoichivost' ravnovesiia podogrevaemoi snizu provodiashchei zhidkosti v magnitnom pole (Stability of the equilibrium of a conductive liquid heated from below in a magnetic field). Zh.experim. teor.Fiz., Vol.38, № 2, 1960.
- 5. Shliomis, M.I., O kolebatel'noi konvektivnoi neustoichivosti provodiashchei zhidkosti v magnitnom pole (On oscillatory convective instability of a conducting fluid in a magnetic field). PMM Vol.28,N24,1964.
- Bai Shi-i, Magnitnaia gazodinamika i dinamika plazmy (Magnetic Gas Dynamics and Plasma Dynamics). Izd. "Mir", 1964.
- 7. Ladyzhenskaia, O.A. and Solonnikov, V.A., Reshenie nelotorykh nestatsionarnykh zadach magnitnoi gidrodinamiki dlia viazkoi neszhimaemoi zhidkosti (Solution of certain nonsteady-state problems of magnetic hydrodynamics for a viscous incompressible liquid). Trudy Mat.Inst. Akad.Nauk SSSR, Vol.59, 1960.
- Vorovich, I.I. and Iudovich, V.M., Statsionarnoe techenie viazkoi neszhimaemoi zhidkosti (The steady flow of a viscous incompressible fluid). Mat.Sb., Vol.53(95), № 4, 1961.
- Solonnikov, V.A., O nekotorykh statsionarnykh kraevykh zadachakh magnitnoi gidrodinamiki (On certain steady-state boundary value problems of magnetic hydrodynamics). Trudy Mat.Inst. Akad.Nauk SSSR, Vol.59, 1960.
- 10. Sobolev, S.L., Nekotorye primeneniia funktsional'nogo analiza v matematicheskoi fizike (Some Applications of Functional Analysis in Mathematical Physics). Izd.Leningr.Univ., Leningrad, 1950.
- 11. Kantorovich, A.V. and Akilov, G.P., Funktsional'nyi analiz v normirovannykh prostranstvakh (Functional Analysis in Normalized Spaces). Fizmatgiz, 1959.
- 12. Mikhlin, S.G., Problema minimuma kvadratichnogo funktsionala (The Minimum Problem for Quadratic Functionals). Gostekhizdat, 1952.
- 13. Krasnopol skii, M.A., Topologicheskie metody v teorii nelineinykh operatornykh uravnenii (Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Operator Equations). Gostekhizdat, 1956).

Translated by A.Y.

## REMARK ON THE PAPERS BY R.V.BIRIKH

"ON THE SPECTRUM OF SMALL PERTURBATIONS OF PLANE-PARALLEL COUETTE FLOW"

PMM Vol.29, Nº 4, 1965, and

"ON SMALL PERTURBATIONS OF A PLANE-PARALLEL FLOW WITH CUBIC VELOCITY PROFILE"
PMM Vol.30, No 2, 1966

#### (ZAMECHANIE K RABOTAM R.V.BIRIKHA

"O spektre malykh vozmushchenii ploskoparallel'nogo techeniia Kuetta" PMM T.29, Vyp.4, 1965, i

"O malykh vozmushcheniiakh ploskoparallel'nogo techeniia s kubicheskim profilem skorosti" PMM T.30, Vyp.2, 1966)

PMM Vol.30, № 6, 1966, p.1147 R.V.BIRIKH (Perm')

In the second of the above papers, when the spectrum of decrements of normal perturbations of a flow with cubic velocity profile was discussed, the possibility was indicated of the existence of a vibrational instability in this flow at high Reynolds numbers. In order to verify this hypothesis, a new